



Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
66 Hudson Boulevard
New York, NY 10001
+1 212 909 6000

March 20, 2023

BY ECF

Hon. Sarah Netburn, United States Magistrate Judge
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
40 Foley Square, Room 219
New York, New York 10007

Nike, Inc. v. StockX LLC, No. 22 CV 983 (VC) (SN)

Dear Judge Netburn:

We represent Defendant StockX LLC (“StockX”) in the above-captioned matter.

Pursuant to Your Honor’s Individual Rule III(F), StockX respectfully submits this letter regarding the sealed treatment of StockX’s response (the “Response”) to Nike, Inc.’s (“Nike,” and together with StockX, the “Parties”) Letter Motion to Compel (the “Motion”; ECF No. 135). StockX’s Response is being filed under seal and on the public docket in redacted form today.

Nike’s Motion seeks to compel StockX to produce certain privileged documents and testimony related to StockX’s investigation into Alleged Counterfeits identified by Nike and certain irrelevant discovery outside of the relevant time period for discovery agreed upon by the parties. In support of its arguments as to why Nike’s Motion should be denied, StockX’s Response references material the Parties have designated confidential pursuant to the Parties’ July 14, 2022, Stipulated Protective Order (ECF No. 52). StockX is accordingly filing portions of its Letter under seal pursuant to Paragraph 14 of the Protective Order, to permit Nike the opportunity to propose, and the Court to approve, appropriate redactions for material in the Letter that is covered by Nike’s claim of confidentiality.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Megan K. Bannigan
Megan K. Bannigan

cc All counsel of record (via ECF)